



INDICATIVE SANCTIONS GUIDE

1. Introduction

The purpose of this guide is to assist Disciplinary Panels in deciding the appropriate sanction when an offence has been either admitted or proved. Where an offence is being dealt with by the CEO under the powers delegated by the Board, the term 'Panel' is to be interpreted as CEO. Each case will inevitably involve a unique set of facts and will require individual assessment, however it is intended that this guide will provide a framework for Panels who are deciding what, if any, sanction should be imposed.

2. The Purpose of Sanctions

- The protection of all those who have involvement, or contact with, British Fencing
- The maintenance of the reputation of the Sport of Fencing
- The maintenance of proper standards of behaviour

(Note: The fact that a sanction has a subsidiary punitive effect does not make such a sanction inappropriate when it is designed to address one of the fundamental principles as set out in this paragraph.)

3. General Principles

When assessing the appropriate sanction the Disciplinary Panel has to exercise discretion, and should have regard to the principles of fairness, proportionality and reasonableness. The Panel should consider the purpose of sanctions, as set out in paragraph 2 above, and carefully weigh that against the interests of the Respondent. In determining the appropriate sanction the Panel may be assisted by the following:

- a) Any mitigating or aggravating features of the facts found proved.
- b) The age and other personal circumstances of the Respondent.
- c) Any character references or testimonials in support of the Respondent.
- d) Any statement of views provided to the Panel by any person affected by the conduct of the Respondent.
- e) Any statement and/or views provided to the Panel by British Fencing.
- 4. The application of the doctrine of proportionality is to ensure that any sanctions imposed are no more restrictive upon a Respondent than is necessary to meet the desired objective. Thus, in order to ensure that proportionality is achieved the Panel should usually consider the available sanctions in ascending order of severity.

5. Code of Conduct and Prescribed Sanctions

- 5.1 When deciding upon the appropriate sanction, if any, the Panel will have regard to the extent to which the actions of the Respondent have contravened the BF Code of Conduct.
- 5.2 Where BF rules prescribe a fixed sanction, the Panel must apply the prescribed sanction.

6. Aggravating Features

The factors listed below might be regarded by the Panel as being aggravating features though the list is not exhaustive. Some of the below may amount to the offence itself.

Violent misconduct					
Sexual misconduct					
Taking advantage of, or abusing, a minor or other vulnerable person					
Dishonesty					
Abuse of trust					
Cheating					
Drug abuse/doping					
Corruption					
Making false allegations					
Failing to comply with an order from a previous Disciplinary Panel					
Failing to co-operate with an investigation or complaint					
Repeated nature of misconduct or sustained over a long period of time					
Concealment of misconduct					
Previous findings of misconduct					
Breach of a ban imposed by a disciplinary body					

6. Mitigating Features

The factors listed below might be regarded by the Panel as being mitigating features though the list is not exhaustive.

Open and frank admissions at an early stage demonstrating insight

No actual harm to other members of British Fencing or members of the public Single, isolated incident

Ill health at the time of the incident where relevant

No previous disciplinary history

Steps taken to rectify misconduct such as apology or repayment of misappropriated funds

Genuine insight into misconduct

Genuine steps taken to remedy, ameliorate or prevent re-occurrence of the misconduct

Young age

Respondent having been subject to provocation

7. Cases where a warning, reprimand or additional education may be appropriate.

Misconduct alleged is of a minor or transient nature				
Momentary loss of temper or ill judged behaviour				
Misconduct unlikely to be repeated				
Insight into misconduct				
Steps taken to rectify misconduct				
Early apology				

8. **Cases where retrospective removal of any title trophy medal prize, points or other benefit, may be appropriate.** *This will apply automatically in the case of a black card; see paragraph T.120 of the Rules for Competitions, and also, in relation to*

domestic competitions, the notes on the Black Cards in the BF Offences and Penalties Sheet, which can be found here: <u>http://britishfencing.com/governance/rules/</u>

Misconduct which fundamentally undermines the reputation of British Fencing Dishonesty Cheating Drug abuse/doping Corruption Violence Sexual abuse

9. Cases where banning may be appropriate

Serious misconduct which falls short of requiring permanent expulsion

Repeated misconduct or failure to heed a warning or reprimand

Serious misconduct, but genuine insight and remorse

Serious misconduct but isolated in nature

Serious misconduct but little chance of repetition

Serious misconduct but clear indication that Respondent can and will address offending issues

Serious misconduct, but falls short of being fundamentally incompatible with continued membership of British Fencing

The duration of the ban may be conditional on the Respondent fulfilling conditions

10. **Cases where expulsion may be appropriate**

Continuing risk to members of the public or other fencers

Serious potential harm caused

Serious misconduct plus lack of insight on the part of the Respondent

Misconduct is such that it calls into question the Respondent's ability to safely engage in the Sport of Fencing

Dishonesty

Violent or sexual misconduct

Behaviour is fundamentally incompatible with being a member of British Fencing Public confidence in the Sport demands no lesser sanction

Serious departure from the Code of Conduct

11. Cases where fines or financial restitution may be appropriate

Dishonesty cases requiring restitution Misconduct which public confidence in the Sport requires should be marked by a financial penalty

Misconduct which has resulted in the Respondent having a financial benefit

12. Other Sanctions

It should be noted that, in appropriate circumstances, the Panel also has the power to declare a Respondent to be ineligible to serve on the British Fencing Board or to have no involvement in British Fencing affairs. Further, the Panel may withdraw or reduce any grant which is within the control of British Fencing. Finally the Panel may order the Respondent to make an apology.

13. Suspension of sanction

Sanctions may be totally or partially suspended for any such period as the Panel may determine. A sanction so suspended shall not be enforced if no further offence is committed by the Respondent during the period of suspension. If a further offence is committed within such period, then upon proof of the second offence, the initial sanction automatically comes into effect unless the Panel dealing with the second offence decides otherwise. The original sanction may be activated in whole or in part and will be added, if applicable, to the sanction imposed for the new offence.

14. Reasons

The Panel should give reasons as to why a particular penalty (or combination of penalties) has been imposed.

15. **Conflict with the Disciplinary Code**

In the event of any conflict between this Guide and the main body of the Disciplinary Code, the latter shall prevail.

Version	Date	Updated by	Comments	Approved by Board
Number	Updated			
	04/06/2015	TC		June 2015
	06/03/15	GU	Updated to correct weblink to penalties sheet	

Version History